Appendix B: Provincial Case Definitions for Reportable Diseases

Disease: *Clostridium difficile* Infection (CDI) outbreaks in public hospitals

[Known as *Clostridium difficile* associated disease (CDAD) in the regulations under the HPPA]

Revised February 2014
**Clostridium difficile** Infection (CDI) outbreaks in public hospitals

1.0 Provincial Reporting

Confirmed outbreaks and outbreak-associated cases occurring in hospitals under the Public Hospitals Act

2.0 Type of Surveillance

Outbreak and case level data

3.0 Outbreak Classification

CDI outbreak definitions incorporate the concept of notification thresholds that optimally trigger action and dialogue between the local public health unit and the facility to determine if an outbreak is occurring.

Facilities should use the following CDI notification thresholds to assist them in determining the need for consultation with their local public health unit. Facilities with limited experience in managing CDI should consult with the local public health unit and/or with the local regional infection control network. These thresholds were developed by the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care (the ‘Ministry’) and can also be found in the Provincial Infectious Diseases Advisory Committee’s *Annex C: Testing, Surveillance and Management of Clostridium difficile in All Health Care Settings.*

3.1 Notification thresholds are defined as:

For wards/units with ≥20 beds, three (3) new cases of nosocomial CDI identified on one ward/unit within a seven-day period OR five (5) new cases of nosocomial CDI within a four-week period,

OR

For wards/units with <20 beds, two (2) new cases of nosocomial CDI identified on one ward/unit within a seven-day period OR four (4) new cases of nosocomial CDI within a four-week period,

OR

Facilities that have a facility nosocomial CDI rate that exceeds their annual nosocomial baseline rate for a period of two consecutive months. NOTE: This is not valid for a small community hospital, where a single case of nosocomial CDI can artificially elevate the facility rate.

It should be noted that exceeding a threshold does not necessarily imply that an outbreak will be declared. Following consultation between the facility and the local public health unit, decisions on the declaration of an outbreak will be made based on the following criteria:

- There has been a significant* (as determined by the facility and the local public health unit) increase in CDI numbers or rate compared to own baseline and/or that of comparator facilities.
- Recognized control measures are in place and are being used.
• There is epidemiologic evidence of ongoing nosocomial transmission on the ward/unit or facility.

*S*ignificance may be determined by reviewing:
• number of new nosocomial cases associated with the reporting ward/unit or facility
• historic level of CDI activity of the ward/unit or facility
• current trend in ward/unit CDI activity or facility rate
• location of current cases and possible epidemiologic links between cases

### 3.2 Confirmed Case Definition

• Diarrhea* with laboratory confirmation of toxin A or B for *C. difficile* (Refer to 4.0 Laboratory Evidence);
  
  **OR**

• Visualization of pseudomembranes on sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy;
  
  **OR**

• Histological/pathological diagnosis of pseudomembranous colitis;
  
  **OR**

• Diagnosis of toxic megacolon.

*Diarrhea is defined as:
• loose/watery bowel movements (conform to the shape of the container), and
• the bowel movements are unusual or different for the patient, and
• there is no other recognized etiology for the diarrhea (for example, laxative use).

For the purpose of defining a case of CDI, there should be three or more episodes of diarrhea within a 24-hour period.

The following definitions are from Ontario’s mandatory patient safety reporting program and can be used to determine whether the case attribution is nosocomial:

#### 3.2.1 CDI Attributable to Your Facility

The symptoms of CDI were not present on admission (i.e. onset of symptoms >72 hours after admission) or the infection is present at the time of admission but is related to a previous admission to your facility within the last four weeks.

#### 3.2.2 CDI Not Attributable to Your Facility

• The symptoms of CDI were present on admission or <72 hours after admission and there was no admission to your facility within the last four weeks.
  
  **OR**

• The symptoms of CDI recur within two months of the last infection (relapse).
4.0 Laboratory Evidence

4.1 Laboratory Confirmation

Any of the following will constitute a confirmed case of CDI:

- Laboratory confirmation by validated methods
- Visualization of pseudomembranes on sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy
- Histological/pathological diagnosis of pseudomembranous colitis
- Diagnosis of toxic megacolon

4.2 Approved/Validated Tests

- *Clostridium difficile* (*C. difficile*) enzyme immunoassay (EIA) for glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH) followed by EIA for toxin (A and/or B)
- Molecular testing nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT)/polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for *C. difficile* toxin genes (A and/or B) with or without GDH.
- *C. difficile* cytotoxicity assay

4.3 Indications and Limitations

- Laboratory testing for CDI requires the identification of toxin A or B, or the genes related to cytotoxin production. Cultures for *C. difficile* are not routinely performed, and require confirmation of toxin A and/or B or the related genes.
- Stool specimen collection should occur as soon as possible after the onset of symptoms.
- Testing for *C. difficile* should not be done in children under 12 months of age as the presence of *C. difficile* in stool is normal in this age group.
- Quick turnaround time for *C. difficile* testing is essential and should be pre-arranged with the microbiology laboratory serving the facility.
- A single negative EIA should not be relied on to rule out *C. difficile*. If a single EIA is negative, a second specimen should be sent and the specimen should be tested using a more sensitive method such as molecular testing (NAAT/PCR).
- Testing by molecular methods such as NAAT/PCR is more sensitive and if the first test is negative, a second test is not necessary. Some laboratories employ a two-step method, with detection of *C. difficile* GDH antigen followed by a molecular test if GDH is positive.
- Alternatively, some laboratories may employ a two-step method of a GDH test followed by EIA. If the results between these tests are discordant, the specimen should sent for confirmatory testing by NAAT/PCR.
- Molecular testing is now considered the testing method of choice.
- Testing can detect *C. difficile* colonization or disease. Results of laboratory testing must be correlated with the clinical condition of the patient. If the patient does not meet the case definition for CDI, he/she should not be counted as a case of CDI.
- *C. difficile* toxin testing is not recommended as a test of cure. Toxin and toxin genes may be detected long after clinical symptoms have resolved.
- Formed stool specimens will be rejected for testing. If CDI is still suspected, contact the testing laboratory to arrange testing.
5.0 Clinical Evidence

Clinically compatible signs and symptoms are characterized by the following:

- Diarrhea (as defined above)
- Fever
- Loss of appetite
- Nausea and
- Abdominal pain or tenderness

*Clostridium difficile* infection can lead to diseases ranging from mild diarrhea to toxic megacolon and death.

6.0 ICD Code(s)

6.1 ICD-10 Code(s)

J22a

7.0 Comments

- It should be noted that exceeding a threshold does not necessarily imply that an outbreak will be declared. Declaration of an outbreak can be made by either the institution or the medical officer of health (MOH).
- In the event of a disagreement between the institution and the MOH, the MOH has the authority to determine if an outbreak of a communicable disease exists, for purposes of exercising statutory powers under the *Health Protection and Promotion Act*. Once an outbreak is declared it is reported to the Ministry through integrated Public Health Information System (iPHIS).
- The hospital may declare an outbreak over and shall consult with the MOH in doing so. Criteria for declaring an outbreak over can be found in the Provincial Infectious Diseases Advisory Committee’s *Annex C: Testing, Surveillance and Management of Clostridium difficile in All Health Care Settings*. Rationale for declaring or not declaring an outbreak, and declaring an outbreak over should be documented.

8.0 Sources


Health Canada; Public Health Agency of Canada. C. difficile (Clostridium difficile). It’s Your Health. Ottawa, ON: Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by the Minister of Health; 2006 [cited 2013 Aug 27].
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</tr>
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</tr>
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<td>---------------</td>
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<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
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<tr>
<td>January 2014</td>
<td>3.0 Outbreak Classification</td>
<td>Changed from “CDI outbreak definitions have been revised to incorporate the concept of notification thresholds, which are more sensitive than outbreak definitions” to “CDI outbreak definitions incorporate the concept of notification thresholds that optimally trigger action and dialogue between the local public health unit and the facility to determine if an outbreak is occurring. Facilities should use the following CDI notification thresholds to assist them in determining the need for consultation with their local public health unit. Facilities with limited experience in managing CDI should consult with the local public health unit and/or with the local regional infection control network. These thresholds were developed by the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care (the ‘Ministry’).”</td>
</tr>
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<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
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| January 2014  | 3.1 Notification  | First paragraph changed from “…3 cases of nosocomial CDI…” to “…three (3) new cases of nosocomial CDI…” and from “…5 cases within a…” to “…five (5) new cases of nosocomial CDI within a…”.
|               | Thresholds are defined as: | Second paragraph changed from “…2 cases of nosocomial CDI…” to “…two (2) new cases of nosocomial CDI…” and from “…or 4 cases within a 4 week period…” to “…four (4) new cases of nosocomial CDI within a four-week period…”.
|               |                  | Third paragraph changed from “Hospitals that have a baseline CDI rate for two months that is at or above the 80th percentile for comparator hospitals” to “Facilities that have a facility nosocomial CDI rate that exceeds their annual nosocomial baseline rate for a period of two consecutive months. NOTE: This is not valid for a small community hospital, where a single case of nosocomial CDI can artificially elevate the facility rate.”
|               |                  | “Hospitals that have a facility rate that is greater than or equal to 2 standard deviations above their baseline” deleted.
|               |                  | “Hospitals that have a facility rate that is greater than or equal to 2 standard deviations above their baseline” added to the fourth paragraph.
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|               |                           | “The role of repeating a PCR test is not known, and is not routinely recommended” deleted from fifth bullet point.                                     |
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